

646: UN alg, GNS construction, Spectral Thm \Rightarrow Review spectral thm

642: GNS alg, C^* -alg, Spectral Thm

Last time: $u: H \rightarrow H$ is a p.i. if $U(\ker u)^\perp$ is an isometry.

We saw that u p.i. $\Rightarrow u^*u = u^*$.

Thm: TFAE for $u \in \mathcal{B}(H)$:

$$\ker u = \{0\} \quad \ker u^* = \ker u$$

(1) u is a p.i. (2) $u u^* u = u$



(3) u^* is a p.i. (4) $u^* u$ projection $u^* u = P_L, u u^* = P_{L'}$

(5) $u^* u u^* = u^*$ (6) $u u^*$ projection

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (3) done. (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) (taking adjoint).

(3) \Rightarrow (5) $u^* u$ is self-adjoint and $(u^* u)^2 = u^* u u^* u = u^* u$. (4) \Rightarrow (6) similarly.

Why does (5) imply (3) or (4)? (5) tells us $(u^* u)^2 = u^* u$, or $u^* u u^* u = u^* u$. WTS: $u^* u u^* = u^*$ or $u u^* u = u$.

Let $s = u u^* u - u$. WTS: $s = 0$. $\Leftrightarrow s^* s = 0$, so $\|s\|^2 = \|s^* s\|$. Indeed, $s^* s = (u^* u u^* - u^* u)(u u^* u - u) = (u^* u)^3 + u^* u - (u^* u)^2 - (u^* u)^2 = 0$.

know: (1) \Rightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Leftrightarrow (5) \Leftrightarrow (6). Why do (3)-(6) imply (1)?

$u^* u = P_L$ w/ $L^\perp = \ker P_L = \ker u^* u = \ker u$. So, u is an isometry on $(\ker u)^\perp = L$. $\Leftrightarrow u^*|_L = \text{id}_L$.

$\ker T^* T = \ker T$, so $T^* T = 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle T^* T, \xi \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle T\xi, T\xi \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow T\xi = 0$.

So, (1)-(6) are equivalent. u^* is a p.i. b.c. $(u^* u)^* (u^* u)^* = (u^* u)^* \Leftrightarrow u u^* u = u$.

Thm. (Polar Decomposition)

uniqueness

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. There exists p.i. $u \ni T = u|T|$ and $\ker u = \ker T$ ($= \ker |T|$). Think $z = e^{i\theta}|z|$

Sketch of proof: Recall $|T| = (T^* T)^{1/2}$. Note $\ker |T| = \ker T = \ker T^* T$, so $|T|\xi = 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle |T|\xi, |T|\xi \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle T^* T\xi, \xi \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle T^* T\xi, \xi \rangle = 0$

$$\Leftrightarrow \langle T\xi, T\xi \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow T\xi = 0.$$

same norm
 $\swarrow \quad \searrow$

$$\langle (u \vee T^*)\xi \rangle = \overline{\text{range}(CTD)}, \quad \|T\xi\| = \|T^*\xi\|$$

Want: $T\xi = u\|T\xi\|$. So, define by $u(\|T\xi\|) = T\xi$. u is an iso. on $\overline{\text{range}(CTD)} = \overline{\|T\xi\|}$. Define $u=0$ on $\text{ker}(T)$.

Continuous Functional Calculus

Thm: Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ normal. There is a unique norm-preserving $*$ -isomorphism between $\mathcal{R} = C^*(\xi, T)$ (the smallest

norm-closed unital $*$ -alg of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ containing $T = \overline{\{P(\xi, T^*) : P \in \mathcal{C}(x, y)\}}$ and $C(\mathcal{S}(CTD))$ such that it takes T to $\text{id}_{\mathcal{S}(CTD)}$.

Let's unclutter this isomorphism $\Phi: C^*(\xi, T) \xrightarrow{\cong} C(\mathcal{S}(CTD)) = \{f(z) \text{ conti, } \mathbb{C}\text{-valued}\}$

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \longleftrightarrow \text{constant function } \lambda$$

$$e^T \longleftrightarrow e^z$$

$$T \longleftrightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{S}(CTD)} = z$$

$$\|T\xi\| \longleftrightarrow \|z\xi\|$$

$$T^2 \longleftrightarrow z^2$$

$$\|T^2\xi\| \longleftrightarrow \|z^2\xi\|$$

only makes sense
 if $\mathcal{S}(CTD) \subset [0, \infty)$

$$T^3 \longleftrightarrow z^3$$

$$T^* \longleftrightarrow \bar{z}$$

$$\|T^2 T^* \xi\| \longleftrightarrow \|z^2 \bar{z} \xi\|$$

Remark: For any $f \in C(\mathcal{S}(CTD))$, we can define $\Phi^{-1}(f) = f(T)$.

Recall: $\mathcal{S}(CTD) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ not invertible}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ compact

Say $H = \mathbb{C}^n$, $T\xi = A\xi$ w/ $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $T^*\xi = A^*\xi$ w/ $A^* = \overline{A^T}$.

If T normal (so $A^*A = AA^*$), we know $A = UDU^*$ w/ U unitary, $D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathcal{S}(CTD) = \mathcal{S}(CAD) = \mathcal{S}(CD) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$.

$C^*(\xi, T) \cong \{P(A, A^*) : P \in \mathcal{C}(x, y)\}$ (closed already). $T \mapsto A \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{id}_{\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}}$

$\|T^* \xi\| \longleftrightarrow \|A^* \xi\| \xrightarrow{\cong} \|z \xi\|$ on $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ only makes sense if $\lambda_i \geq 0$.

Say we want to make sense of e^T . As matrices: $e^A = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{A^n}{n!} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(u_0 u^0)^n}{n!} = u \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{0^n}{n!} u^0 = u e^0 u^0$.

So, $\mathcal{D}(e^T) = e^{\mathcal{D}}$ on \mathcal{GCD} ($\mathcal{D} = d \Rightarrow e^{\mathcal{D}} = e^{d \cdot \mathcal{D}}$). $e^0 = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-1} & & & 0 \\ & e^{-1} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & e^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$

What about T^{-1} ? T^{-1} would correspond via \mathcal{D} to $1/z$, which is well-defined on \mathcal{GCD} iff $0 \neq d_1, \dots, d_k$.

$\overline{T^* T}$ is the func. \sqrt{z} on $\mathcal{GCD}^* \mathcal{D}$ via const. func. calc. for $T^* T$.

Remark: e^T makes sense for any $T \in \mathcal{GCD}$: $e^T = I + 1/1! T + 1/2! T^2 + \dots$ (show it converges).

Properties: • $e^0 = I$

• $e^T = (e^{T^*})^*$

• $e^{T \circ S} = e^T e^S$ if $T \circ S = S \circ T$.

Thm (Fuglede): If $N^* N = N N^*$ and $T N = N T$, then $T^* N = N T^*$. $\leadsto N^* T = T N^*$

Reiter's Proof: $T N = N T \Leftrightarrow T e^{\lambda N} = e^{\lambda N} T \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. To show $T N^* = N^* T \Leftrightarrow T e^{\lambda N^*} = e^{\lambda N^*} T \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

So, want: $T e^{\lambda N^*} = e^{\lambda N^*} T \Leftrightarrow e^{-\lambda N^*} T e^{\lambda N^*} = T$. $f(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda N^*} T e^{\lambda N^*} = e^{-\lambda N^*} e^{iN} T e^{-iN} e^{\lambda N^*} = e^{-\lambda N^* + iN} T e^{-iN + \lambda N^*} = (U(\lambda) T U(\lambda))^*$.

$U(\lambda) U(\lambda)^* = I = U(\lambda)^* U(\lambda)$, so $U(\lambda)$ unitary. So, $\|f(\lambda)\| \leq 1 \cdot \|T\| \cdot 1 \Rightarrow f$ bounded on $\mathbb{C} \Rightarrow f$ constant (by Liouville).

$f(\lambda) = f(0) = T$.